



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,269	07/08/2003	James Francis Sehl	JSEHL - 002	8198
7590 06/01/2004 EXAMI		INER		
Carl Rowold			LEE, JONG SUK	
43 Niagara Pier Erie, PA 16507-2314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
		DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/615,269	SEHL, JAMES FRANCIS	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673	
Th	e MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the		
Period for Re	piy		•	
- Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
Status				
1) Resi	ponsive to communication(s) filed on			
		- action is non-final.		
· · · · ·	te this application is in condition for allowan		association as to the mority in	
close	ed in accordance with the practice under Ex	c parte Quavle 1935 C.D. 11 49	53 O.G. 213	
		. parto quajro, 1000 O.B. 11, 40		
Disposition o				
	m(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
	n(s) is/are allowed.			
	n(s) is/are rejected.			
7)∐ Clair	n(s) is/are objected to.			•
8)⊠ Clair	n(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or el	lection requirement.		
Application Page 1	apers			
9)☐ The s	specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminor	
	cant may not request that any objection to the d			
	acement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11) The o	eath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner Note the attached Office	Action or form DTO 450	
		miller. Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)	
a)∐ All		3(2)	(4) 51 (1).	
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	v documents have been receive	d in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	a m and readonar dage	
* See the	e attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.	
A44 1				
Attachment(s)	forences (its J /DTC 200)	<u></u>		
2) Notice of Dra	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dal	PTO-413)	
3) Information [Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	6) Other:		
S. Patent and Trademark PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04		on Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 05262004	

Application/Control Number: 10/615,269

Art Unit: 3673

Page 2

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a. Fig. 4
- b. Figs. 8A-C
- c. Fig. 10
- d. Fig. 11

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic to all species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,269

Art Unit: 3673

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jong-Suk (James) Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6777.

J. Lee /jjl May 26, 2004

Jong-Suk (James) Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673